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Using within-host diversity to infer direction of transmission: Equivalent to inferring ancestral state of virus populations.


Inferring ancestries in early HIV diversification and spread:


Faria et al, Nature 2014

## Why do we represent relatedness of viruses as trees?

Why not 'networks', 'clusters', 'maps'?

A: because HIV is a biological replicator.
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In tracing an ancestral
lineage, we only keep track of viruses, whose
descendants survive to become part of our sample of interest.
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What happens if more than one virus has descendants in a sample?
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Tracing an ancestral lineage consists of keeping track of which viruses leave behind descendants in the sample
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Tracing an ancestral lineage consists of keeping track of which viruses leave behind descendants in the sample.
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We ignore viruses that don't leave behind descendants:
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We ignore viruses that don't leave behind descendants:
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The history of the ancestral lineage has splits in time when more than one virus leaves behind descendants:
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Because ancestral lineages are constructed backwards in time from the present, the splits are often called 'coalescences'
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We usually infer this process indirectly from a sample:


Day 0
Day 2
Day 4
Day 6

Time

This object is the phylogeny of the two sampled viruses.
We have to infer this from data obtained from the two sampled viruses in the boxes.
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## A simple phylogeny



Day 0
Day 2
Day 4
Day 6

Time

## A simple phylogeny
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Correctly interpreting trees is not difficult, but requires a bit of practice as they are a bit visually misleading.


Q: which are more closely related of the viruses $1,2 \& 3$ ?

Correctly interpreting trees is not difficult, but requires a bit of practice as they are a bit visually misleading.


A: Viruses 2 and 3 share a more recent common ancestor than either shares with virus 1, and are expected to be more similar

What makes our phylogeny uncertain is that we don't observe it. Instead, we infer it from data collected only at the tips
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## A simple phylogeny
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## Replication with mutation
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Look at the genome
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A substitution is a mutation that survives in the ancestral lineage
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A substitution is a mutation that survives in the ancestral lineage
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Substitution rates are typically much lower than mutation rates
( $\sim 10$ substitutions/year versus $\sim 1$ mutation per replication cycle $\& 150$ cycles per year).

- Most mutations are harmful to the virus.
- The virus sometimes gets 'stuck' in a non-replicating latent state for years.

Phylogenetic algorithms infer the phylogeny based on molecular models of how the viruses accumulate substitutions (e.g. the relative rate of $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{C}$ versus $\mathrm{T}>\mathrm{G}$, etc.).


Example software include PhyML, RaxML, IQTree, Mr Bayes

## An example real phylogeny:

The letters represent an inferred ancestral virus for

The colors represent patients.
The tips represent a virus.
The number represent the number of times the same genotype was found.

The scale bar is in units of substitutions per site

Phylogenies can provide information at very different scales


Sharp et al CSHL 2011

A tree can be dated if you have enough data to infer rates of substitution


Example software include BEAST, TreeDater, TreeTime, Least Squares Dating (LSD)

This phylogeny has been rotated for clarity. The past is at the top.


In practice, inferring phylogenies is full of uncertainty


This phylogeny has been rotated for clarity. The past is at the top.


Interesting things may happen to viruses as they replicate:

Transmission: This virus or
infected cell may be transferred to another person
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Migration: The person hosting this virus may move to another location.
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## A real example of transmission:

At some point along this branch, the virus in this lineage likely jumped from person E into person $F$.


The scale bar is in units of substitutions per site

At some point along this branch, the person/people carrying this virus moved from Kinshasa to the Americas


The final really complicated effect: recombination messes up tree-like structure, creating a 'ancestral graph instead'.


Luckily, HIV is not the only biological replicator that recombines.
Some have been well studied.


## Summary

- Phylogenetics provides powerful insights into dynamics of virus spread at different scales.
- Trees are a natural way to describe ancestry (recombination still challenging).
- Ancestral state reconstruction is the key link to epidemiology.
- We have talked a lot about phyloscanner, but there are many other tools and methods we should use with PANGEA to obtain insights.

Thank you.
Questions?

